The Journal Of Contemporary Social Sciences www.tjcss.org (TJCSS)

Muhammad Asif

Research Scholar National University Of Modern Languages, Islamabad

Persian and Arabic Influences on Urdu Lasaniyat: A Historical Linguistic Perspective

Abstract

Urdu, renowned for its poetic elegance and cultural depth, has evolved through centuries of interaction with diverse linguistic traditions. This paper examines the profound influences of Persian and Arabic on Urdu lasaniyat, delineating the historical, cultural, and linguistic factors that have shaped its development. During the Delhi Sultanate and Mughal Empire, Persian emerged as the court language, infusing Urdu with an expansive vocabulary, refined grammatical structures, and a distinct literary aesthetic, particularly in poetic expressions such as the ghazal. Concurrently, Arabic, as the language of religion and scholarship, introduced specialized terminology, calligraphic styles, and rhetorical forms that enriched Urdu's intellectual and cultural framework. This study explores how the synthesis of Persian elegance and Arabic depth created a unique linguistic identity that continues to resonate in modern Urdu literature and communication. Drawing on linguistic research and literary analyses, the paper highlights the reciprocal influence of these languages and their role in facilitating cultural dialogue and artistic innovation. Ultimately, the enduring legacy of Persian and Arabic contributions illustrates how historical interactions can shape a living language, preserving tradition while adapting to contemporary cultural dynamics. This examination underscores the transformative power of linguistic exchange and encourages ongoing research into evolving cultural dynamics globally.

Keywords: Urdu Lasaniyat, Persian Influence, Arabic Influence, Linguistic Synthesis, Cultural Exchange, Historical Linguistics, Literary Aesthetics, Poetic Tradition, Mughal Empire, Language Evolution

Historical Context of Urdu

Urdu emerged as a dynamic language in the Indian subcontinent through centuries of cultural and political amalgamation. Its origins can be traced to the interactions between diverse linguistic communities during the Delhi Sultanate and later the Mughal Empire. During these periods, Persian was the administrative and literary language of the courts, which resulted in its extensive influence on the vernacular languages of the region. This synthesis led to the gradual evolution of a distinct linguistic form that would eventually be recognized as Urdu (Ali, 2012). The early phase of Urdu development was characterized by a robust exchange of linguistic and cultural ideas. As Muslim rulers established their dominion over northern India, they introduced Persian administrative practices, literature, and art. This fostered an environment where local dialects absorbed Persian vocabulary, idiomatic expressions, and stylistic nuances. The language that emerged from this interaction was not merely a pidgin or creole; rather, it was a fully developed medium capable of expressing complex literary and philosophical ideas In addition to Persian, Arabic played a significant role in shaping Urdu. As the liturgical language of Islam, Arabic contributed religious, legal, and scholarly terms to Urdu, further enriching its lexicon. This dual influence from Persian and Arabic gave Urdu a unique character, allowing it to serve both as a language of everyday communication and as a medium for high literary expression (Rahman, 2008). The cultural interplay facilitated by these interactions created a rich literary tradition, with poets and scholars utilizing Urdu to articulate diverse narratives that spanned social, religious, and political themes.

Today, Urdu stands as a testament to centuries of linguistic evolution, reflecting a historical trajectory marked by cultural fusion and intellectual exchange. Its development is emblematic of the broader historical processes that shape languages, underscoring the enduring impact of crosscultural interactions in molding linguistic identities

Persian Influences on Urdu

Persian has exerted a profound and multifaceted influence on Urdu, shaping it both as a language of everyday communication and as an esteemed medium for literature and art. The introduction of Persian into the linguistic milieu of the Indian subcontinent began during the early Islamic conquests and became significantly entrenched during the Delhi Sultanate and Mughal Empire During these periods, Persian was not only the administrative and cultural language of the courts but also the language of education and literature. This prominence facilitated the infusion of Persian vocabulary, idiomatic expressions, and literary conventions into the evolving form of Urdu.

One of the most conspicuous areas of Persian influence is the lexicon. Urdu has incorporated a vast array of Persian words, especially those related to governance, art, and everyday social interactions. This lexical borrowing enriched Urdu's vocabulary, making it versatile enough to articulate complex ideas and emotions. The borrowing was not unidirectional; it often involved semantic adaptations, whereby Persian words assumed new shades of meaning in the Urdu context

Moreover, the grammatical structure and stylistic features of Urdu have been significantly shaped by Persian. The formal and often indirect mode of expression prevalent in Urdu literature mirrors Persian literary aesthetics. This influence is particularly evident in the realm of poetry. The ghazal—a poetic form that reached new heights in Persian literature—found fertile ground in Urdu, where poets such as Mirza Ghalib and Allama Iqbal skillfully interwove Persian imagery and metaphors to enhance the emotional and philosophical depth of their verses

The cultural impact of Persian on Urdu extends beyond vocabulary and grammar. It also encompasses the visual and calligraphic aspects of the language. The adoption of the Perso-Arabic script for writing Urdu, along with its distinctive calligraphic styles, reflects the aesthetic legacy of Persian artistic traditions. This synthesis of form and function underscores a broader cultural exchange that has defined Urdu's historical trajectory.

In sum, the Persian influence on Urdu is a testament to the enduring power of cultural and linguistic interaction. It has enriched Urdu not only lexically and structurally but also aesthetically, ensuring its status as a language that resonates with both historical depth and literary sophistication

Lexicon and Vocabulary

The lexicon and vocabulary of Urdu have been profoundly shaped by centuries of cultural and linguistic interactions, particularly through the influences of Persian and Arabic. Historically, as Persian served as the court language during the Delhi Sultanate and Mughal Empire, a significant number of Persian words were assimilated into Urdu. This process enriched the lexicon with

terms that reflected administrative, artistic, and social domains. Borrowings from Persian provided Urdu with a refined vocabulary that enhanced its capacity to express nuanced ideas and emotions

Moreover, the assimilation was not a simple one-to-one transfer of words; it involved semantic adaptations where borrowed terms acquired specific contextual meanings within Urdu. For example, certain Persian adjectives and nouns were modified to better fit the cultural and social milieu of the Indian subcontinent. This dynamic process enabled Urdu to evolve into a language capable of conveying both the elegance of poetic expression and the practicality required for everyday communication

In addition to Persian, Arabic has also significantly contributed to Urdu's vocabulary. Arabic, being the liturgical language of Islam, introduced a wide array of religious, legal, and scholarly terminologies into Urdu. These terms not only enriched the language's lexicon but also enhanced its status as a medium of intellectual and spiritual discourse. The integration of Arabic words into Urdu often involved morphological adjustments, whereby the original phonetic and syntactic forms were adapted to conform to Urdu's linguistic patterns

The cumulative impact of these borrowings is a lexicon that is both diverse and dynamic. This rich vocabulary, marked by layers of historical borrowing and semantic evolution, plays a critical role in maintaining Urdu's unique linguistic identity. As a living language, Urdu continues to absorb and adapt new terms, reflecting its enduring capacity for cultural synthesis and innovation.

Grammar and Syntax

The grammar and syntax of Urdu have evolved significantly due to centuries of cultural and linguistic exchanges, particularly with Persian and Arabic. Persian influence is especially prominent in the structure of Urdu sentences and the stylistic conventions adopted in formal writing and literary expression. During the Mughal era, Persian was not only the language of administration and literature but also a model for linguistic refinement. As a result, Urdu incorporated various Persian grammatical forms and syntactic structures that imparted a sophisticated quality to its expression

One of the notable impacts is seen in the use of honorifics and the formal register, where sentence constructions often mirror Persian indirectness and politeness. This is evident in the extensive use of compound verbs and the positioning of adjectives and adverbs in ways that differ from other regional languages. Additionally, the influence of Persian has led to the adoption of certain stylistic patterns in complex sentence formation, contributing to a language that balances simplicity with poetic nuance

Arabic's contribution to Urdu grammar is also significant, particularly in terms of syntactic and morphological elements. Arabic, as the language of religion and scholarship, introduced a number of inflectional forms that enriched Urdu's verbal and nominal paradigms. This influence is apparent in the use of case markers, gender agreement, and the construction of passive forms, all of which have been adapted to fit the phonological and syntactic patterns of Urdu

The synthesis of Persian and Arabic grammatical traditions in Urdu has resulted in a versatile language structure that supports both everyday communication and high literary expression. As Urdu continues to evolve, its grammar and syntax remain a dynamic testament to historical

interactions and ongoing linguistic innovation, ensuring that the language remains rich in both form and function.

. Literary Aesthetics

Urdu literary aesthetics embody a unique blend of cultural influences, predominantly shaped by Persian and Arabic traditions. This synthesis is vividly reflected in the thematic complexity, stylistic nuances, and symbolic richness that characterize Urdu literature. The Persian influence is particularly evident in the structure and form of the ghazal—a poetic genre celebrated for its evocative imagery, intricate metaphors, and emotional depth. Poets such as Mirza Ghalib and Faiz Ahmed Faiz exemplify this tradition, skillfully merging lyrical beauty with philosophical introspection. Their works demonstrate how the refined elegance of Persian literary conventions has been adapted to express local sentiments and experiences

In addition to form, Persian aesthetics have significantly influenced the visual presentation of Urdu literature. The use of calligraphy and ornamentation in manuscripts not only underscores the artistic dimension of the language but also reflects the historical prestige associated with Persian cultural traditions. Such visual elements enhance the overall literary experience, creating a harmonious interplay between written expression and artistic presentation.

Arabic contributions further enrich Urdu literary aesthetics by introducing a profound sense of rhetoric and thematic depth. Arabic's legacy is evident in the infusion of religious, ethical, and philosophical motifs into Urdu poetry and prose. The formal elegance and rhetorical sophistication inherited from Arabic traditions provide a framework for discussing moral and existential themes. This integration has enabled Urdu writers to articulate complex ideas and spiritual narratives with clarity and intensity, thereby elevating the discourse to a universal level Collectively, the convergence of Persian and Arabic influences has endowed Urdu literature with a dynamic aesthetic quality. This cultural amalgamation not only reinforces the historical and intellectual legacy of Urdu but also ensures its enduring appeal as a medium for artistic expression and cultural dialogue

Arabic Influences on Urdu

Arabic has played a crucial role in shaping Urdu, significantly enriching its lexicon, grammar, and literary expressions. As the language of Islamic theology and jurisprudence, Arabic became a primary conduit for the introduction of specialized religious and philosophical terminology into Urdu. This integration occurred through early translations of religious texts and scholarly works, where Arabic words were adapted into Urdu to facilitate complex theological discussions and moral debates

The lexical impact of Arabic is evident in the vast number of terms related to spirituality, law, and ethics that have become integral to Urdu. Many of these words not only convey specific religious concepts but also serve as markers of cultural identity among Urdu speakers. For instance, terms that describe ethical principles and legal norms retain their original Arabic nuances, while simultaneously being reinterpreted within the cultural context of South Asia. Beyond vocabulary, Arabic has influenced the grammatical structure of Urdu. Certain syntactic patterns and morphological features—such as the use of specific verbal forms and case markers—reflect an adaptation of Arabic linguistic constructs. This influence has allowed Urdu

to express complex ideas and fostered a linguistic environment where scholarly debate and poetic expression could flourish.

Moreover, the adoption of the Perso-Arabic script, characterized by its flowing calligraphic style, underscores Arabic's aesthetic contribution to Urdu. This script not only enhanced the visual appeal of written Urdu but also became a symbol of its rich cultural heritage (Aziz, 2015). The intermingling of Arabic literary traditions with local artistic sensibilities has contributed to a unique literary landscape where themes of spirituality and intellectual inquiry are vividly articulated.

Overall, Arabic's influence on Urdu demonstrates a dynamic interplay between language, culture, and religion—a legacy that continues to inform and enrich Urdu's literary and communicative prowess.

Religious and Philosophical Terminology

Urdu's religious and philosophical vocabulary is deeply rooted in Arabic, reflecting centuries of cultural and intellectual exchange. As the language of Islam, Arabic provided the framework for expressing theological concepts, ethical principles, and metaphysical ideas. With the arrival of Islam in South Asia, early translators and scholars faced the challenge of conveying complex religious doctrines. They adopted key Arabic terms—such as iman (faith), tawheed (monotheism), and shariah (Islamic law)—to ensure doctrinal precision and cultural continuity in Urdu texts

This process of lexical borrowing extended beyond mere vocabulary enrichment. Arabic philosophical terms, such as hikmat (wisdom) and fikr (thought), were integrated into Urdu to articulate intricate ideas about existence, ethics, and human consciousness. These terms were not only adopted verbatim but were also semantically expanded to accommodate local intellectual traditions. Over time, they have come to embody broader philosophical debates and discussions within Urdu literature, thereby contributing to a robust intellectual and spiritual tradition.

The infusion of Arabic terminology into Urdu has enabled speakers to engage with both classical Islamic scholarship and contemporary discourse. This linguistic synthesis has facilitated the transmission of a rich heritage of religious and philosophical thought, bridging the gap between ancient texts and modern interpretations. Moreover, the continued use of these Arabic-derived terms in sermons, academic discussions, and everyday conversation underscores their enduring relevance in shaping cultural identity and ethical values among Urdu speakers .

In essence, the integration of Arabic religious and philosophical terminology into Urdu serves as a living testament to the dynamic interplay between language and culture. It preserves the intellectual legacy of the Islamic tradition while allowing for ongoing reinterpretation and renewal within the modern context.

Script and Calligraphy

Urdu's script and calligraphic traditions are central to its cultural and literary identity, deeply influenced by Arabic and Persian aesthetics. The adoption of the Perso-Arabic script for Urdu writing is a reflection of historical and cultural convergence, where linguistic expression meets artistic innovation. The fluidity and ornamental quality of the script—especially in styles such as Nasta'līq—embody the elegance prized in Persian calligraphy and have been instrumental in shaping the visual presentation of Urdu literature

Historically, the introduction of the Perso-Arabic script coincided with the advent of Islam in South Asia, which not only brought religious texts but also a sophisticated artistic tradition. Calligraphy in this context was elevated beyond a mere method of communication; it became an art form, integral to the decoration of manuscripts, monuments, and public inscriptions. This tradition underscored the cultural prestige associated with literacy and aesthetic refinement, where the written word was crafted with as much care as its meaning

The evolution of Urdu calligraphy has been marked by a continuous dialogue between tradition and modernity. While classical calligraphic styles remain revered for their intricate beauty and historical significance, contemporary calligraphers are reinterpreting these techniques to suit modern artistic sensibilities. This dynamic interplay ensures that the script remains a living tradition, capable of conveying both timeless literary expressions and innovative visual art.

Moreover, the art of calligraphy in Urdu is not solely confined to aesthetics; it plays a crucial role in reinforcing cultural identity. The visual elegance of the script serves as a constant reminder of Urdu's historical roots and its evolution through centuries of cross-cultural influences. As such, script and calligraphy in Urdu not only document language but also embody a rich, evolving heritage that continues to inspire artistic and literary endeavors

Urdu stands as a testament to the profound cultural and intellectual exchanges that have shaped

. Cultural and Intellectual Exchange

its evolution over centuries. Originating in a region marked by diverse linguistic and cultural interactions, Urdu emerged as a dynamic medium that integrated elements from indigenous languages with those of Persian and Arabic. This cross-pollination of ideas facilitated not only a rich literary tradition but also an environment of scholarly and artistic innovation Historically, the introduction of Persian during the Delhi Sultanate and Mughal periods played a pivotal role in establishing Urdu's formal registers and aesthetic conventions. Persian literary forms, such as the ghazal, were adapted and enriched within the Urdu language, resulting in a poetic tradition that emphasizes subtle imagery, metaphor, and emotional depth. Similarly, Arabic, the language of Islamic theology and scholarship, contributed specialized terminology and rhetorical structures, which enhanced Urdu's capacity to engage with complex philosophical and religious discourses

This cultural and intellectual synthesis was not limited to vocabulary or stylistic choices; it also fostered an atmosphere of intellectual inquiry. The exchange of ideas extended into fields such as philosophy, medicine, and astronomy, where the confluence of knowledge from various cultural traditions spurred advancements and enriched the intellectual landscape of the region. Urdu became a conduit for transmitting not only literary aesthetics but also progressive ideas, bridging diverse cultural and intellectual traditions

In essence, the evolution of Urdu through cultural and intellectual exchange underscores the transformative power of dialogue between diverse linguistic and cultural systems. By embracing and adapting external influences, Urdu evolved into a language that is both expressive and inclusive—a living repository of shared human heritage that continues to inspire scholarly and artistic endeavors.

Interplay of Persian and Arabic Elements

The evolution of Urdu is characterized by a dynamic interplay between Persian and Arabic

elements, resulting in a language that is rich in both aesthetic appeal and intellectual depth. This synthesis is evident across various linguistic dimensions, including lexicon, grammar, and literary styles. Persian contributed significantly to the ornamental aspects of Urdu, infusing it with an elegant vocabulary, refined idiomatic expressions, and stylistic nuances that are especially prominent in poetic forms such as the ghazal (Naseem, 2002). The Persian influence is visible in the adoption of complex metaphors, elaborate imagery, and a formal tone that elevates literary expression.

Simultaneously, Arabic provided a robust framework for articulating religious, legal, and philosophical concepts. As the language of Islamic scholarship, Arabic introduced specialized terminology that enriched Urdu's ability to convey intricate theological and moral ideas. This influence is apparent in the seamless integration of Arabic terms into Urdu, where they are often adapted morphologically and semantically to fit the local linguistic context (Khan, 2010). The interplay between Persian refinement and Arabic intellectual rigor has endowed Urdu with a dual character: one that is simultaneously lyrical and scholarly.

This cultural and linguistic amalgamation did not occur in isolation. Historical processes, such as the administrative and cultural policies during the Mughal era, fostered an environment where both Persian and Arabic elements could flourish within Urdu. As a result, Urdu emerged as a language capable of bridging diverse cultural spheres, facilitating communication across both the aesthetic and the academic domains (Rahman, 2008). The enduring synthesis of these influences continues to shape modern Urdu, reflecting its historical legacy while remaining responsive to contemporary cultural and intellectual currents.

Conclusion

The study of Urdu lasaniyat reveals a rich tapestry woven from diverse linguistic threads, with Persian and Arabic influences serving as the most prominent contributors. Historically, Urdu emerged during periods marked by cultural convergence, particularly under the Delhi Sultanate and Mughal Empire, when Persian became the language of the court and Arabic the language of religion and scholarship (Ali, 2012). These interactions laid the foundation for a language that is both expressive and versatile, capable of conveying complex literary and philosophical ideas. Persian's contribution to Urdu is evident in its elegant vocabulary, refined grammatical

structures, and ornate literary expressions, as exemplified by the ghazal. The infusion of Persian elements has not only enriched the lexicon but also instilled a sense of aesthetic sophistication and subtlety in expression (Naseem, 2002). In contrast, Arabic has provided Urdu with specialized terminology for religious, legal, and philosophical discourse. The adoption of Arabic vocabulary and syntactic features has enabled Urdu to engage deeply with theological and intellectual debates, thereby enhancing its role as a medium of cultural dialogue (Khan, 2010).

The interplay of these influences is further reflected in Urdu's script and calligraphic traditions, where the Perso-Arabic style creates an artistic visual identity that complements its literary richness (Aziz, 2015). Together, Persian and Arabic contributions have forged a language that is a living testament to centuries of cultural and intellectual exchange. This synthesis not only defines Urdu's historical development but also ensures its continuing evolution as a dynamic, adaptable medium in contemporary literary and scholarly contexts.

In sum, Urdu's linguistic journey demonstrates the transformative power of cross-cultural influences, highlighting the enduring legacy of Persian and Arabic elements. This intricate blend

References

- Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20.
- Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67.
- Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119.
- Rahman, S. (2017). The evolution of Urdu language in South Asia. Oxford University Press.
- Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20.
- Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67.
- Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2).
- Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20.
- Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3).
- Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119.
- Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20.
- Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3).
- Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119
- Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20.
- Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67.
- Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2).
- Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250.
- Hussain, R. (2005). The role of Arabic in the evolution of Urdu. Language and Culture Review, 11(2), 89–104.
- Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62.
- Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies,

Hussain, R. (2005). The role of Arabic in the evolution of Urdu. Language and Culture Review, 11(2), 89–104. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ahmed, R. (2009). The intellectual tradition in South Asian literature. Journal of Cultural Studies, 14(2), 112–128. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Siddiqui, M. (2011). Cultural synthesis and literary innovation in Urdu. South Asian Review, 9(3), 87–104. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Lingui	20(3),
Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ahmed, R. (2009). The intellectual tradition in South Asian literature. Journal of Cultural Studies, 14(2), 112–128. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Siddiqui, M. (2011). Cultural synthesis and literary innovation in Urdu. South Asian Review, 9(3), 87–104. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian F. (2010). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	Hussain, R. (2005). The role of Arabic in the evolution of Urdu. Language and Culture Review,
South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ahmed, R. (2009). The intellectual tradition in South Asian literature. Journal of Cultural Studies, 14(2), 112–128. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Siddiqui, M. (2011). Cultural synthesis and literary innovation in Urdu. South Asian Review, 9(3), 87–104. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 15(1), 45–62.	11(2), 89–104.
Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ahmed, R. (2009). The intellectual tradition in South Asian literature. Journal of Cultural Studies, 14(2), 112–128. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Siddiqui, M. (2011). Cultural synthesis and literary innovation in Urdu. South Asian Review, 9(3), 87–104. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 1000.	Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of
20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119 Ahmed, R. (2009). The intellectual tradition in South Asian literature. Journal of Cultural Studies, 14(2), 112–128. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Siddiqui, M. (2011). Cultural synthesis and literary innovation in Urdu. South Asian Review, 9(3), 87–104. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 15(1), 45–62.	South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62.
Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119 Ahmed, R. (2009). The intellectual tradition in South Asian literature. Journal of Cultural Studies, 14(2), 112–128. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Siddiqui, M. (2011). Cultural synthesis and literary innovation in Urdu. South Asian Review, 9(3), 87–104. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies,
South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119 Ahmed, R. (2009). The intellectual tradition in South Asian literature. Journal of Cultural Studies, 14(2), 112–128. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Siddiqui, M. (2011). Cultural synthesis and literary innovation in Urdu. South Asian Review, 9(3), 87–104. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	20(3), 234–250.
Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119 Ahmed, R. (2009). The intellectual tradition in South Asian literature. Journal of Cultural Studies, 14(2), 112–128. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Siddiqui, M. (2011). Cultural synthesis and literary innovation in Urdu. South Asian Review, 9(3), 87–104. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of
15(2), 101–119 Ahmed, R. (2009). The intellectual tradition in South Asian literature. Journal of Cultural Studies, 14(2), 112–128. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Siddiqui, M. (2011). Cultural synthesis and literary innovation in Urdu. South Asian Review, 9(3), 87–104. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Ahmed, R. (2009). The intellectual tradition in South Asian literature. Journal of Cultural Studies, 14(2), 112–128. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Siddiqui, M. (2011). Cultural synthesis and literary innovation in Urdu. South Asian Review, 9(3), 87–104. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	
Studies, 14(2), 112–128. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Siddiqui, M. (2011). Cultural synthesis and literary innovation in Urdu. South Asian Review, 9(3), 87–104. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	
Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Siddiqui, M. (2011). Cultural synthesis and literary innovation in Urdu. South Asian Review, 9(3), 87–104. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	
South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Siddiqui, M. (2011). Cultural synthesis and literary innovation in Urdu. South Asian Review, 9(3), 87–104. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	,
Siddiqui, M. (2011). Cultural synthesis and literary innovation in Urdu. South Asian Review, 9(3), 87–104. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	
9(3), 87–104. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	
South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	
Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics, 12(3), 45–67. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	
12(3), 45–67. Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Rahman, A. (2008). Arabic and Persian contributions to Urdu lexicon. Language Studies Journal, 15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	
15(2), 101–119. Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	
Ali, S. (2012). Historical perspectives on Urdu language. International Journal of Language and Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	
Culture, 8(1), 1–20. Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	
Aziz, M. (2015). Arabic contributions to South Asian languages. Journal of Islamic Studies, 20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	
20(3), 234–250. Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Khan, F. (2010). Religious lexicon and linguistic evolution in Urdu. International Journal of South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	
South Asian Studies, 15(1), 45–62. Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	
Naseem, M. (2002). Persian influence on Urdu language. Journal of South Asian Linguistics,	